

Ohio Attorney General's Office Bureau of Criminal Investigation

Investigative Report

2024-3413

Officer Involved Critical Incident - 1105 Bernard Ave. Findlay, Ohio 45840 (L)



Investigative Activity: Summary of Lab Reports

Involves: BCI Lab

Activity Date: 12/12/2024

Activity Location: BCI

Authoring Agent: SA Tiffany Najmulski

Narrative:

On Sunday, November 22, 2020, Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation (BCI) Special Agent (SA) Tiffany Najmulski (SA Najmulski) received Ohio BCI Laboratory report(s) for items of evidence submitted on October 30, 2020 for scientific analysis (laboratory case number 24-23322). The report originated from the Firearms section of the laboratory and was authored by Forensic Scientist Kevin Belcik. The items relevant to this report which had previously been submitted were as follows:

- 1. 9mm and 45mm casings
- 2. 9mm Smith and Wesson
- 3. 45 caliber Glock 21

SA Najmulski reviewed the laboratory report and noted the following:

Firearms

The Glock used by was found to be the source for all (8) cartridges of .45 caliber found at the scene. The Smith and Wesson found near the subject, Kalvin Darapheth, was found to be the source for the (5) 9mm cartridges found at the scene.

DNA

A swab of the buttons, base of the magazine and the front gun sight area on the 9mm Smith and Wesson showed Darapheth was a major contributor. The body of the magazine was swabbed and showed Darapheth was not a contributor, but an unknown male was.

A copy of the Ohio BCI Laboratory report is attached to this investigative report. Please refer to the attachment for further details.

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



Ohio Attorney General's Office Bureau of Criminal Investigation

Investigative Report





Attachments:

.45 caliber and 9 mm cartridges Lab report
Lab report Gun and last cartridge found
Lab submission casing
Submission sheet to BCI Lab - First Submission
DNA Lab Results

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law - a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



Bureau of Criminal Investigation

Laboratory Report Firearms

To: BCI / Bowling Green BCI Laboratory Number: 24-23322

S/A Tiffany Najmulski

750 N. College Drive Analysis Date: Issue Date:

Bowling Green, OH 43402 November 01, 2024 November 07, 2024

Agency Case Number: 2024-3413

Offense: Shooting Involving an Officer

Subject(s): Kalvin B Darapheth

Victim(s): State of Ohio

Submitted on 10/30/2024 by Emily Miller

One cardboard box containing firearm; SN:

- One (1) Glock model 21 Gen 4, 45 Auto Semi-Automatic Pistol, serial number with one (1) magazine, six (6) 45 Auto unfired cartridges, and one (1) attached Streamlight brand TLR-1 HL weapon mounted light

One cardboard box containing firearm; SN: JLF4369

- One (1) Smith & Wesson model M&P 9 Shield Plus, 9mm Luger Semi-Automatic Pistol, serial number JLF4369 with one (1) magazine and six (6) 9mm Luger unfired cartridges

3. One manila envelope containing cartridge casing

- One (1) 45 Auto fired cartridge case

4. One manila envelope containing cartridge casing

- One (1) 45 Auto fired cartridge case

One manila envelope containing cartridge casing

- One (1) 45 Auto fired cartridge case

One manila envelope containing cartridge casing

- One (1) 45 Auto fired cartridge case

One manila envelope containing cartridge casing

- One (1) 45 Auto fired cartridge case

One manila envelope containing cartridge casing

- One (1) 45 Auto fired cartridge case

One manila envelope containing cartridge casing

Please address inquiries to the office indicated, using the BCI case number.

24-23322 November 07, 2024 2024-3413

- One (1) 45 Auto fired cartridge case

One manila envelope containing cartridge casing

- One (1) 45 Auto fired cartridge case

11. One manila envelope containing cartridge casing

- One (1) 9mm Luger fired cartridge case

12. One manila envelope containing cartridge casing

- One (1) 9mm Luger fired cartridge case

13. One manila envelope containing cartridge casing

- One (1) 9mm Luger fired cartridge case

14. One manila envelope containing cartridge casing

- One (1) 9mm Luger fired cartridge case

Findings

| Item Description | Comparison | Conclusion |
|------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Y 1 | N/A | Operable |
| Item 1 | Items 3 - 10 | |
| Glock Pistol | Eight (8) 45 Auto fired cartridge cases | Source Identification |

| Item Description | Comparison | Conclusion |
|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | N/A | Operable |
| Item 2 | Items 11 - 14 | |
| Smith & Wesson Pistol | Four (4) 9mm Luger fired cartridge cases | Source Identification |

Remarks

The Streamlight brand weapon mounted light, Item 1, was removed and re-attached during the test firing process, but not examined.

The additional submitted 45 Auto unfired cartridges, Item 1, and 9mm Luger unfired cartridges, Item 2, were not examined at this time.

All evidence will be returned to the submitting agency.

Analytical Detail

Analytical findings offered above were determined using visual, physical, and microscopic examinations / comparisons.

Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation BCI Bowling Green

Lab Case: Issue Date: Agency Case: 24-23322 November 07, 2024 2024-3413

Kevin Belcik Forensic Scientist

(419) 419-3577

kevin.belcik@OhioAGO.gov



Based on scientific analyses performed, this report contains opinions and interpretations by the analyst whose signature appears above. Examination documentation and any demonstrative data supporting laboratory conclusions are maintained by BCI and will be made available for review upon request, Results relate only to the items tested.

Your feedback is important to us! Please complete our Laboratory Satisfaction Survey at: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/QLNQ3SY

24-23322 November 07, 2024 2024-3413

Comparison Conclusion Scale

The following lists the conclusions a Forensic Scientist may reach when performing comparisons. In reaching a conclusion, a Forensic Scientist considers the similarities and dissimilarities and assesses the relative support of the observations under the following two propositions: the evidence originated from the same source or from a different source.

A Forensic Scientist may utilize their knowledge, training, and experience to evaluate how much support the observed similarities or dissimilarities provide for one conclusion over another. A conclusion shall not be communicated with absolute certainty. It is an interpretation of observations made by the Forensic Scientists and shall be expressed as an expert opinion.

| 1 | Source Identification | The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from a different source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility. |
|---|------------------------------|--|
| 2 | Support for Same Source | The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source rather than different sources; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Identification. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion. |
| 3 | Inconclusive | The observations do not provide a sufficient degree of support for one proposition over the other. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion. |
| 4 | Support for Different Source | The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from different sources rather than the same source; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Exclusion. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion. |
| 5 | Source Exclusion | The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from a different source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from the same source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility; or the evidence exhibits fundamentally different characteristics |

We invite you to direct your questions to:

Abby Schwaderer, Quality Assurance Manager
(740) 845-2517

abby.schwaderer@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

24-23322 November 07, 2024 2024-3413

Kevin Belcik Statement of Qualifications Kevin.Belcik@OhioAGO.gov

Education

Bachelors of Science in Applied Physics. May 2013, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH.

Professional Experience

Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation Laboratory, Forensic Scientist. September 2013 – Present.

Required Technical Training

- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Firearms Training. September 2013 December 2014.
- ATF NIBIN Heritage Acquisition and Correlation. Atlanta, GA. February 2014.
- ATF Serial Number Restoration Course. Ammendale, MD. August 2014.
- ATF IBIS Triage and Data Acquisition. Bowling Green, OH. March 2023

Memberships

Association of Firearm and Toolmark Examiners (AFTE). Provisional member since April 2018.
 Regular member since December 2022.

A complete CV can be made available upon request

Updated 4/1/2024



Bureau of Criminal Investigation

Laboratory Report Firearms

To:

BCI / Bowling Green

BCI Laboratory Number:

24-23322

S/A Tiffany Najmulski

Analysis Date:

Issue Date:

750 N. College Drive

November 20, 2024

November 22, 2024

Bowling Green, OH 43402

Agency Case Number:

2024-3413

Offense:

Shooting Involving an Officer

Subject(s):

Kalvin B Darapheth

Victim(s):

State of Ohio

Submitted on 10/30/2024 by Emily Miller

One cardboard box containing firearm; SN: JLF4369

- One (1) Smith & Wesson model M&P 9 Shield Plus, 9mm Luger Semi-Automatic Pistol, serial number JLF4369 with one (1) magazine, six (6) 9mm Luger unfired cartridges, and previously generated laboratory test fires

Submitted on 11/12/2024 by S/A Tiffany Najmulski

16. One manila envelope containing cartridge casing

- One (1) 9mm Luger fired cartridge case

Findings

| Item Description | Comparison | Conclusion |
|----------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Item 2 | Item 16 | Source Identification |
| Smith & Wesson Pisto | One (1) 9mm Luger fired cartridge case | |

Please address inquiries to the office indicated, using the BCI case number.

[X] BCI -Bowling Green Office 750 North College Drive Bowling Green, OH 43402 Phone:(419)353-5603 [] BCI -London Office 1560 St Rt 56 SW P.O. Box 365 London, OH 43140 Phone:(740)845-2000 [] BCI -Richfield Office 4055 Highlander Pkwy, Suite A Richfield, OH 44286 Phone:(330)659-4600

24-23322 November 22, 2024

2024-3413

Remarks

The previously generated test fires from Item 2 were used for comparison purposes. All additional Items from Item 2 were not re-examined at this time.

All evidence will be returned to the submitting agency.

Analytical Detail

Analytical findings offered above were determined using visual, physical, and microscopic examinations / comparisons.

Kevin Belcik Forensic Scientist

(419) 419-3577

Mark Too

kevin.belcik@OhioAGO.gov

Based on scientific analyses performed, this report contains opinions and interpretations by the analyst whose signature appears above. Examination documentation and any demonstrative data supporting laboratory conclusions are maintained by BCI and will be made available for review upon request. Results relate only to the items tested.

Your feedback is important to us! Please complete our Laboratory Satisfaction Survey at: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/QLNQ3SY

24-23322 November 22, 2024 2024-3413

Comparison Conclusion Scale

The following lists the conclusions a Forensic Scientist may reach when performing comparisons. In reaching a conclusion, a Forensic Scientist considers the similarities and dissimilarities and assesses the relative support of the observations under the following two propositions: the evidence originated from the same source or from a different source.

A Forensic Scientist may utilize their knowledge, training, and experience to evaluate how much support the observed similarities or dissimilarities provide for one conclusion over another. A conclusion shall not be communicated with absolute certainty. It is an interpretation of observations made by the Forensic Scientists and shall be expressed as an expert opinion.

| 1 | Source Identification | The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from a different source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility. |
|---|------------------------------|--|
| 2 | Support for Same Source | The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source rather than different sources; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Identification. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion. |
| 3 | Inconclusive | The observations do not provide a sufficient degree of support for one proposition over the other. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion. |
| 4 | Support for Different Source | The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from different sources rather than the same source; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Exclusion. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion. |
| 5 | Source Exclusion | The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from a different source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from the same source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility; or the evidence exhibits fundamentally different characteristics |

We invite you to direct your questions to:

Abby Schwaderer, Quality Assurance Manager
(740) 845-2517

abby.schwaderer@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

24-23322 November 22, 2024 2024-3413

Kevin Belcik Statement of Qualifications Kevin.Belcik@OhioAGO.gov

Education

· Bachelors of Science in Applied Physics. May 2013. University of Toledo. Toledo, OH.

Professional Experience

Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation Laboratory. Forensic Scientist. September 2013 – Present.

Required Technical Training

- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Firearms Training. September 2013 December 2014.
- ATF NIBIN Heritage Acquisition and Correlation. Atlanta, GA. February 2014.
- ATF Serial Number Restoration Course. Ammendale, MD. August 2014.
- ATF IBIS Triage and Data Acquisition. Bowling Green, OH. March 2023

Memberships

Association of Firearm and Toolmark Examiners (AFTE). Provisional member since April 2018.
 Regular member since December 2022.

A complete CV can be made available upon request

Updated 4/1/2024





| Laboratory Evidence Submission | | | | | Date/Time Received: BCI Case Number: | | | | | | nber: | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|--|---|---|------------|------|--------|--------|--------------|---------|------------|--|
| Received By: Amber Timm Receiving Lab: BCI Bowling Green | | | | 11/12/2024 3:06 pm Tuesday 24-23322 | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Case | ADD'L | (If checked, p | provide BCI Case N | (o) | | Agency Case | Number: | | | | | | | |
| | Submi | ission #: 2 | | | | 2024-3413 | 3 | | | | | | | |
| Case Type: | • | | Date of Offense: | | | Location of | Offense: | | | County | y of Submit | ting Ag | ency: | |
| Shooting Involv | ving an Officer | | 10/29/2024 | | | | | | | Wood | d County | | | |
| Subject(s): (First, I | MI, Last Name) | | In Custody | Charged | Trial I | Date Gr | and Jury | Ar | rest # | BCI : | # 5 | Sex | DOB | |
| Kalvin B. Daraphet | th | | | | | | | | | | | M | 07/09/2000 | |
| Victim(s): (First, M | MI, Last Name) | | | | • | | | Stat | te ID# | BCI : | # ! | Sex | DOB | |
| State of Ohio | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Submitting Agency | y Name/ORI: | | | | | Investigating Officer Name: | | | | | | | | |
| BCI / Boy | wling Green (| OHBCI000 | 00 | | | Investigating Officer Phone: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Investigating Officer Email: | | | | | | | | |
| Mailing Address: | | | | | | Submitting Officer: S/A Tiffany Najmulski | | | | | | | | |
| | ollege Drive | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bowling (| Green, OH 434 | 02 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | BCI Crime Scene Agent: Crime Scene Case No: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| QNT | Biology | Link | Chemistry | Docum | ments | Firearms | NIBII X | N | GSR | | Latent Print | s | Trace | |

Test item submission establishes an agreement for testing between the submitting customer and the BCI laboratory. The laboratory employs standardized methods to fulfill customer test requests. Specific method selection, application and sequence are at the discretion of the laboratory, unless otherwise directed by the customer. Investigative information obtained as a result of the laboratory testing may be disseminated to other law enforcement agencies for the administration of justice. The BCI laboratory may subcontract testing to meet operational needs. Subcontracted work will be performed by a competent subcontractor and that work will be clearly identified to the customer.

Prior to the commencement of work, please review the submission receipt to ensure the items submitted and laboratory testing requested are correct. Signature signifies acceptance of the agreement specified above and accuracy of the submission receipt to the best of the signatory's knowledge.

Completed Laboratory reports, Notarized Statements, and Statements of Qualification are available for download from the OHLEG portal (www.OHLEG.org).

Item Number: 16

Packaging: One manila envelope containing Item Description: Firearm Evidence - cartridge casing





| Laboratory Evidence Submission | | | | | Date/Time Received: BCI Case Number: | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------|--|--|------------|--------------------|---------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Received By: Amber Timm Receiving Lab: BCI Bowling Green | | | | | 10/30/2024 3:31 pm Wednesday 24-23322 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Agency Case Number: 2024-3413 | | | | | | | | |
| Case Type: Date of Offense: Shooting Involving an Officer 10/29/2024 | | | | Locat | ion of Offense: | | County o Wood (| of Submitting A County | gency: | | | | |
| Subject(s): (First, MI, Last Name) | In Custody | Charged | Trial I | Pate | Grand Jury | Arrest # | BCI# | Sex | DOB | | | | |
| Kalvin B. Darapheth | | | | | | | | M | 07/09/2000 | | | | |
| Victim(s): (First, MI, Last Name) | | | | | | State ID # | BCI# | Sex | DOB | | | | |
| State of Ohio | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Submitting Agency Name/ORI: | | | | Investigating Officer Name: S/A Tiffany Najmulski | | | | | | | | | |
| BCI / Bowling Green OHBCI000 | 0 | | | Investigating Officer Phone: (419) 455-5824 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Investigating Officer Email: tiffany.najmulski@ohioago.gov | | | | | | | | | |
| Mailing Address: 750 N. College Drive Bowling Green, OH 43402 | Submitting Officer: Emily Miller | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | BCI (| Crime Scene Agent: | | Crime Scer | Crime Scene Case No: | | | | | | | | |

Test item submission establishes an agreement for testing between the submitting customer and the BCI laboratory. The laboratory employs standardized methods to fulfill customer test requests. Specific method selection, application and sequence are at the discretion of the laboratory, unless otherwise directed by the customer. Investigative information obtained as a result of the laboratory testing may be disseminated to other law enforcement agencies for the administration of justice. The BCI laboratory may subcontract testing to meet operational needs. Subcontracted work will be performed by a competent subcontractor and that work will be clearly identified to the customer.

Prior to the commencement of work, please review the submission receipt to ensure the items submitted and laboratory testing requested are correct. Signature signifies acceptance of the agreement specified above and accuracy of the submission receipt to the best of the signatory's knowledge.

Completed Laboratory reports, Notarized Statements, and Statements of Qualification are available for download from the OHLEG portal (www.OHLEG.org).

Services Requested

| Lab Item# | Dept. Item# | Description (Packaging Description, Item Type - Described as) | Chemistry Qualitative Analysis | Chemistry Quantitative Analysis | Firearms | DNA | GSR Gunshot Residue | Latent Prints | LINK | NIBIN | Operability | Questioned Document | Trace |
|--------------|----------------|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|-----|---------------------------|------------------|------|-------|-------------|------------------------|-------|
| 1 | | One cardboard box containing, Firearm Evidence Described as: firearm; SN: | | | × | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | One cardboard box containing, Firearm Evidence Described as: firearm; SN: JLF4369 | | | × | × | | | | × | | | |
| 3 | | One manila envelope containing, Firearm Evidence Described as: cartridge casing | | | × | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | One manila envelope containing, Firearm Evidence Described as: cartridge casing | | | × | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | One manila envelope containing, Firearm Evidence Described as: cartridge casing | | | × | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | One manila envelope containing, Firearm Evidence Described as: cartridge casing | | | × | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | One manila envelope containing, Firearm Evidence Described as: cartridge casing | | | × | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | One manila envelope containing, Firearm Evidence Described as: cartridge casing | | | × | | | | | | | | |





| Labor | Date | e/Time Rece | | BCI Case Number: | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|----------|-----|---------------------------|------------------|------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------|
| Received Receivin | • | nber Timm C <mark>I Bowling Green</mark> | 10/ | 10/30/2024 3:31 pm Wednesday 24-23322 | | | | | | | | | |
| New Case | x | ADD'L (If checked, provide BCI Case No) | 1 - | Agency Case Number: 2024-3413 | | | | | | | | | |
| Case Type: Shooting | Involving a | Date of Offense: 1 Officer 10/29/2024 | Loc | ation of Off | ense: | | | | | of Subm Count | nitting Age Y | ncy: | |
| Lab Item # | Dept. Item# | Description (Packaging Description, Item Type - Described as) | Chemistry Qualitative Analysis | Chemistry Quantitative Analysis | Firearms | DNA | GSR Gunshot Residue | Latent Prints | LINK | NIBIN | Operability | Questioned Document | Trace |
| 9 | | One manila envelope containing, Firearm Evidence Described as: cartridge casing | | | × | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | One manila envelope containing, Firearm Evidence Described as: cartridge casing | | | × | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | One manila envelope containing, Firearm Evidence Described as: cartridge casing | | | × | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | One manila envelope containing, Firearm Evidence Described as: cartridge casing | | | × | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | One manila envelope containing, Firearm Evidence Described as: cartridge casing | | | × | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | One manila envelope containing, Firearm Evidence Described as: cartridge casing | | | × | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | One manila envelope containing, Known blood sample Described as: from Kalvin Darapheth | | | | × | | | | | | | |



Bureau of Criminal Investigation

Laboratory Report

DNA

To: BCI / Bowling Green

S/A Tiffany Najmulski

750 N. College Drive

Bowling Green, OH 43402

Analysis Date:

Issue Date:

24-23322

October 30, 2024

December 16, 2024

Agency Case Number:

BCI Laboratory Number:

2024-3413

Offense:

Shooting Involving an Officer

Subject(s): Victim(s):

Submitted on October 30, 2024 by Emily Miller

2. One cardboard box containing firearm; SN: JLF4369

15. One manila envelope containing DNA Standard from Kalvin Darapheth

| Item | Conclusions | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 Firearm; SN: JLF4369 | | | | | | | |
| 2.1 Swab of trigger/interior of trigger guard | The DNA profile is not of sufficient quality for comparison due to the number of contributors. | | | | | | |
| 2.2 Swab of grip | The DNA profile is not of sufficient quality for comparison due to the number of contributors. | | | | | | |
| 2.3 Swab of back slide area | The DNA profile is not of sufficient quality for comparison due to insufficient data. | | | | | | |
| 2.4 Swab of buttons | Mixture (1 major contributor) Major – consistent with: • Kalvin Darapheth – The estimated frequency of occurrence of the major DNA profile is rarer than 1 in 1 trillion^ unrelated individuals. The remainder of this mixture contains DNA that is not of sufficient quality for comparison to a standard from any individual. | | | | | | |

Please address inquiries to the office indicated, using the BCI case number.

24-23322 December 16, 2024 2024-3413

| | 3 , | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Mixture (1 major contributor) | | | | | | |
| | Major – consistent with: | | | | | | |
| | Kalvin Darapheth – The estimated | | | | | | |
| | frequency of occurrence of the major DNA | | | | | | |
| 2.5 Swab of front sight area | profile is rarer than 1 in 1 trillion^ unrelated | | | | | | |
| | individuals. | | | | | | |
| | The remainder of this mixture contains DNA that is | | | | | | |
| | not of sufficient quality for comparison to a | | | | | | |
| | standard from any individual. | | | | | | |
| | Mixture (1 major contributor) | | | | | | |
| | Major – consistent with: | | | | | | |
| | Kalvin Darapheth – The estimated | | | | | | |
| | frequency of occurrence of the major DNA | | | | | | |
| 2.6 Swab of base of magazine | profile is rarer than 1 in 1 trillion^ unrelated | | | | | | |
| | individuals. | | | | | | |
| | The remainder of this mixture contains DNA that is | | | | | | |
| | not of sufficient quality for comparison to a | | | | | | |
| | standard from any individual. | | | | | | |
| | Mixture (1 major contributor) | | | | | | |
| | Major: | | | | | | |
| | • Unknown male - sufficient for comparison. | | | | | | |
| 2.7 Swab of body of magazine | Kalvin Darapheth – not the major contributor | | | | | | |
| | The remainder of this mixture contains DNA that is | | | | | | |
| | not of sufficient quality for comparison to a | | | | | | |
| | standard from any individual. | | | | | | |
| 2.8 Swab of five (5) cartridges | The DNA profile is not of sufficient quality for | | | | | | |
| 2.0 Swao of five (3) cartifuges | comparison due to insufficient data. | | | | | | |
| 2.9 Swab of one (1) cartridge | The DNA profile is not of sufficient quality for | | | | | | |
| · / · · · | comparison due to insufficient data. | | | | | | |
| 15 DNA Standard from Kalvin Darapheth | | | | | | | |
| 15.1 DNA standard from Kalvin Darapheth | Profile used for comparison purposes | | | | | | |
| 4.75 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | | | | | | | |

[^] Based on the national database provided by the National Institute of Standards and Technology

Remarks

Item 2.1, Item 2.2, Item 2.3, Item 2.4, Item 2.5, Item 2.6, Item 2.7, Item 2.8 and Item 2.9 were consumed during analysis. Additional sample from the other items is available should independent analysis be requested. All remaining items will be returned to the submitting agency. The remaining DNA extracts will be retained by the laboratory.

The eligible DNA profile (Item 15.1) has been entered into the CODIS database in accordance with state and national regulations, where regular searches will be performed. If investigative information becomes available or a profile is removed from CODIS, your agency will be notified.

The swabs of the firearm (Item 2.1, Item 2.2, Item 2.3, Item 2.4, Item 2.5, Item 2.6, Item 2.7, Item 2.8 and Item 2.9) were collected in the Bowling Green laboratory. The remainder of the testing was performed in the Richfield laboratory.

24-23322 December 16, 2024

2024-3413

Analytical Detail

DNA profiling was performed using PCR with the GlobalFiler® STR kit on samples from Item 2 and Item 15.

Lindsey Deetz Forensic Scientist

(234) 400-3706

Lindsey.Deetz@OhioAGO.gov

Based on visual examination and scientific analyses performed, this report contains opinions and interpretations by the analyst whose signature appears above. Examination documentation and any demonstrative data supporting laboratory conclusions are maintained by BCI and will be made available for review upon request. Results relate only to the items tested.

Your feedback is important to us! Please complete our Laboratory Satisfaction Survey at: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Q9VQHL5

24-23322 December 16, 2024 2024-3413

Lindsey M. Deetz Statement of Qualifications Lindsey.Deetz@OhioAGO.gov

Education

• Bachelor of Science in Forensic Biology. November 2010. Ohio Northern University. Ada, Ohio

Professional Experience

- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Forensic Scientist. 2011-present
- Omega Laboratories. Laboratory Technician. May 2008-August 2008. June 2010-August 2010

Required Technical Training

- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. ArmedXpert. 2022
- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. DNA Interpretation. 2019
- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. DNA Extraction. 2017
- Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Forensic Biology. 2011

A complete CV can be made available upon request

Updated: 03/28/24