

injury until long after the attack although a definite injury is sustained which is directly attributed to that cause. In other cases sheep are run or worried by dogs and although no physical injury is discerned immediately after the attack, the sheep nevertheless eventually show signs of a physical impairment which oftentimes results in permanent physical injury or death. Under such circumstances, it would be strange justice to say that the owner of such sheep would not be entitled to enter a claim for damages if notice of such injury was given a county commissioner within forty-eight hours after being discovered.

Although notice to a county commissioner of sheep killed or injured is one of the conditions precedent which must be complied with by an owner of live stock enumerated in Section 5840, *supra*, in order to entitle him to enter a claim for damages for loss or injury caused by a dog, nevertheless it is quite apparent from a consideration of this section that such notice need not be given within forty-eight hours after the attack but within forty-eight hours after the loss or injury is discovered.

It is, therefore, my opinion in specific answer to your question, that it is within the authority given in Section 5840, *et seq.* of the General Code, for county commissioners to allow a claim for damages to an owner of sheep injured or killed by dogs if notice of the injury or loss is given within forty-eight hours after being discovered.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*

---

2796.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA  
COUNTY, OHIO, \$15,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 3, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*  
GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County,  
Ohio, \$15,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated June 1, 1920. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of September 11, 1934, being Opinion No. 3189.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

*Attorney General.*

---

2797.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$25,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 3, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County,  
Ohio, \$25,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated March 1, 1931. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of May 21, 1934, being Opinion No. 2701.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,

*Attorney General.*

---

2798.

APPROVAL—BONDS CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$25,000.00.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County,  
Ohio, \$25,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated March 1, 1921. The transcript relative