

thereon, and same is transmitted herewith to you, together with all other papers submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.

1613.

LEASE—CANAL LAND, STATE TO JOSEPH COCHRAN, DESIGNATED PORTION, HOCKING CANAL, LANCASTER, FAIRFIELD COUNTY, USE, RESIDENCE, AGRICULTURE AND WAREHOUSE PURPOSES.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 28, 1939.

HON. CARL G. WAHL, *Director, Department of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR: You recently submitted for my examination and approval a canal land lease executed by you as Superintendent of Public Works and as Director of said department, to one Joseph Cochran, 535 South Columbus Street, Lancaster, Ohio.

By this lease, which is one for a stated term of fifteen years and which provides for an annual rental of \$18.00, there is leased and demised to the lessee above named, the right to occupy and use for residence, agriculture and warehouse purposes, that portion of the abandoned Hocking Canal property, including the full width of the bed and banks thereof, located in the City of Lancaster, Fairfield County, Ohio, and described as follows:

“Beginning at Station 479 + 13, of Bruce Doughton’s Survey of said canal property, and extending thence southeasterly with the lines of said canal property, three hundred thirty-seven (337’) feet, more or less, to Station 482 + 50, same being the westerly line of Broad Street produced in said city, and containing twenty-four thousand (24,000) square feet, more or less.”

Upon examination of this lease, which is executed by you under the authority of House Bill No. 417, enacted by the 88th General Assembly under date of April 19, 1929, 113 Ohio Laws, 521, and other related provisions of law, I find that the same has been executed by you in your official capacity above stated, and by Joseph Cochran in the manner provided by law.

Assuming, as I do, that this property has not been designated for state highway purposes, I find that the terms and provisions of this lease and the conditions and restrictions therein contained are in conformity with the

statutes of Ohio. I am therefore approving this lease, as is evidenced by my approval endorsed upon the lease and upon the duplicate and triplicate copies thereof, all of which are herewith enclosed.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.

1614.

BONDS—EAST YOUNGSTOWN CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, MAHONING COUNTY, \$3,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, December 28, 1939.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of East Youngstown City School District, Mahoning County, \$3,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city school district dated February 1, 1924. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your Commission under date of November 8, 1924, being Opinion No. 1948.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city school district.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.