

term for the office of county recorder. By a parity of reasoning I believe that the case of *State, ex rel. Harsha, vs. Troxel, et al.*, supra, is completely dispositive of the present question and inasmuch as this case represents the latest pronouncement of the Supreme Court of Ohio on the interpretation of the phrase "first general election" it necessarily takes precedence over the earlier decisions cited in this opinion.

Consequently, I am constrained to advise you, and it is my formal opinion that the successor to the incumbent appointee in the office of recorder of Lawrence county must be selected at the next regular election for county officers which, as provided for by the Constitution and the statutes, will occur in November, 1938.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*

---

555.

APPROVAL—BONDS OF CITY OF ROCKY RIVER, CUYA-  
HOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$5,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 4, 1937.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*  
GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of City of Rocky River, Cuyahoga  
County, Ohio, \$5,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated October 1, 1933. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of January 29, 1935, being Opinion No. 3878.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute a valid and legal obligation of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*