

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval, a contract between the state of Ohio, acting by the Department of Public Works for the Department of Public Welfare, and T. J. Conner, of Cincinnati, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of contract for Heating for a project known as Extension of Heating and Electric Service Lines, Longview State Hospital, Cincinnati, Ohio, in accordance with Item 1; Item 2 (Alt. H-1); Item 3, (Alt. H-2); Item 6 (Alt. H-5a, 5b, 5c); Item 7 (Alt. H-6), substitution of Adasco Expansion joints in lieu of Yardway expansion joints, of the form of proposal dated December 15, 1933. Said contract calls for an expenditure of Seven thousand Five hundred and Six dollars (\$7,506.00).

You have also submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. Evidence is presented showing that the Controlling Board has released funds for this project in accordance with Sections 1 and 2 of House Bill No. 652 of the 90th General Assembly to cover the obligations of the contract. In addition, you have submitted a contract bond upon which the National Surety Corporation of New York appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also, it appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the Workmen's Compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon, and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,
JOHN W. BRICKER,
Attorney General.

2163.

APPROVAL, CONTRACT BETWEEN THE STATE OF OHIO AND THE KELSO-WAGNER COMPANY OF DAYTON, OHIO, FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND COMPLETION OF CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL WORK AT LONGVIEW STATE HOSPITAL, CINCINNATI, OHIO, AT AN EXPENDITURE OF \$9,230.00—SURETY BOND EXECUTED BY THE INDEMNITY COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, January 15, 1934.

HON. T. S. BRINDLE, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted for my approval a contract between the State of Ohio, acting by the Department of Public Works, for the Department of Public Welfare, and the Kelso-Wagner Company of Dayton, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of contract for Electrical Work for a project known as Extension of Heating and Electric Service Lines, Longview State Hospital, Cincinnati, Ohio, in accordance with Item No. 8 and Item No. 9

(Alt. E-1) of the Form of Proposal dated December 14, 1933. Said contract calls for an expenditure of nine thousand two hundred and thirty dollars (\$9,230.00).

You have also submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. Evidence is presented showing that the Controlling Board has released moneys for this project, in accordance with sections 1 and 2 of House Bill No. 652 of the 90th General Assembly, regular session. In addition, you have submitted a contract bond upon which the Indemnity Insurance Company of North America appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the workmen's compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this date noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,

Attorney General.

2164.

DENTIST—EXAMINATION FOR LICENSE TO PRACTICE DENTISTRY—
RE-EXAMINATION PERMITTED IN ALL SUBJECTS SPECIFIED BY
STATUTE—NO ADDITIONAL FEE IF EXAMINED AT NEXT SUC-
CEEDING BOARD SESSION.

SYLLABUS:

1. *When, pursuant to the provisions of Section 1322, General Code, an applicant submits himself for examination for a license to practice dentistry in Ohio, but fails to pass such examination, such applicant is entitled to be re-examined as to his proficiency in those branches of learning specified in such section at the next regular or special meeting of the state dental board without the payment of an additional fee, but such applicant is not entitled to be so re-examined in those subjects only, in which he has failed to secure the minimum passing grade.*

2. *An applicant for a license to practice dentistry in Ohio, who has failed to pass the examination given by the state dental board, is not entitled to be re-examined by such board at any other than the next regular or special session of such board without the payment of the fee provided by Section 1328, General Code.*

COLUMBUS, OHIO, January 15, 1934.

Ohio State Dental Board, 1553½ N. Fourth Street, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:—I am in receipt of your request for my opinion on the following questions: