

674.

APPROVAL, BONDS OF MT. VERNON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, KNOX COUNTY, \$60,000.00, PURCHASED FOR TEACHERS' RETIREMENT BOARD.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, August 28, 1923.

Department of Industrial Relations, Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

675.

ABSTRACT, STATUS OF TITLE, SOUTH HALF OF LOT NO. 126, HAMILTON'S SECOND GARDEN ADDITION, COLUMBUS, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, September 4, 1923.

HON. CHARLES V. TRUAX, *Director of Agriculture, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—An examination of an abstract of title submitted by your office to this department discloses the following:

The abstract under consideration was prepared by Adolph Haak & Company, Abstracters, August 10, 1905, and a continuation thereto made by Adolph Haak & Company, Abstracters, on August 22, 1923, and pertains to the following premises:

The south half of Lot 126 of Hamilton's Second Garden Addition to the city of Columbus, Ohio, as the same is numbered and delineated on the recorded plat thereof, recorded in Plat Book 7, page 186, Recorder's Office, Franklin County, Ohio, saving and excepting therefrom twelve feet off the west end thereof, reserved for the purpose of an alley.

Upon examination of said abstract, I am of the opinion same shows a good and merchantable title to said premises in Charles R. Swickard, subject to the following exceptions:

The release of the mortgage shown at section 8 of the first part of the abstract is in defective form, but as the note secured by the mortgage has been long past due, no action could be maintained upon same. The release shown at section 14 is also defective but shows that the notes secured by the mortgage were undoubtedly paid.

Attention is directed to the restrictions in the conveyance shown at section 1 of the last continuation of August 22, 1923, wherein are found restrictions for a period of twenty-five years against the use of the premises for the erection of any

buildings to be used for slaughter houses and the killing of animals, or the use of said premises for the sale of intoxicating liquors or malt beverages.

The abstract states no examination has been made in the United States District or Circuit Courts, nor in any subdivision thereof.

Taxes for the year 1923, although as yet undetermined, are a lien against the premises.

It is suggested that the proper execution of a general warranty deed by Charles R. Swickard and wife, if married, will be sufficient to convey the title to said premises to the State of Ohio when properly delivered.

Attention is also directed to the necessity of the proper certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unincumbered balances legally appropriated sufficient to cover the purchase price before the purchase can be consummated.

The abstract submitted is herewith returned.

Respectfully,

C. C. CRABBE,

Attorney General.

676.

ABSTRACT, STATUS OF TITLE, LOTS NOS. 115 AND 122 AND NORTH HALF OF LOT 116, EXCEPTING 12 FEET OFF REAR END OF EACH LOT, RESERVED FOR THE PURPOSE OF AN ALLEY; ALSO RESERVE A AND RESERVE B, HAMILTON'S SECOND GARDEN ADDITION, COLUMBUS, OHIO.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, September 4, 1923.

HON. CHARLES V. TRUAX, *Director of Agriculture, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR:—An examination of an abstract of title submitted by your office to this department discloses the following:

The abstract under consideration was prepared by Adolph Haak & Co., Abstracters, August 10, 1905, and a continuation thereto made by Adolph Haak & Company, Abstracters, August 22, 1923, and pertains to the following premises:

Lots 115 and 122 and the north half of Lot 116, excepting 12 feet off the rear end of each lot, reserved for the purposes of an alley; also Reserve A and Reserve B, of Hamilton's Second Garden Addition to the city of Columbus, Ohio, as the same is numbered and delineated on the recorded plat thereof, recorded in Plat Book 7, page 186, Recorder's office, Franklin County, Ohio.

Upon examination of said abstract, I am of the opinion same shows a good and merchantable title to said premises in Charles R. Swickard, subject to the following exceptions:

The release of the mortgage shown at section 8 of the first part of the abstract is in defective form, but as the note secured by the mortgage has been long past due, no action could be maintained upon same. The release shown at section 14 is also defective but shows that the notes secured by the mortgage were undoubtedly paid.