



Ohio Attorney General's Office
Bureau of Criminal Investigation
Investigative Report



2023-2219
Officer Involved Critical Incident – 1389 Marion Drive Columbus,
Ohio 43207

Investigative Activity: Document Review
Involves: Jamie Overstreet (S), Officer [REDACTED] (S)
Date of Activity: 09/26/2023
Activity Location: Williams, Kyra – Home – 1389 C Marion Drive, Columbus, OH
43207, Franklin County
Author: SA Andrew Russell

Narrative:

On Monday, September 25, 2023, Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation (BCI) Special Agent (SA) Andrew Russell (SA Russell) received Ohio BCI Laboratory report(s) for items of evidence submitted on August 25, 2023, for scientific analysis (laboratory case number 23-18245). The report originated from the Firearms Section of the laboratory and was authored by Forensic Scientist Daniel Steiner. The items relevant to this report which had previously been submitted were as follows:

1. One Manila envelope containing five (5) fired 9mm Luger cartridge cases
2. One box containing Taurus Spectrum 380 semi auto pistol, Serial # 1F074703
3. One Manila envelope containing one (1) fired projectile, located inside vehicle
4. One box containing Smith & Wesson M&P9 M2.0 semi auto pistol, Serial # [REDACTED]

SA Russell reviewed the laboratory report and noted the following:

The five (5) fired 9mm Luger cartridge cases belong to the Smith & Wesson M&P9 M2.0 semi auto pistol, Serial # [REDACTED]. The pistol is operable.

The Taurus Spectrum 380 semi auto pistol, Serial # 1F074703 is operable.

A copy of the Ohio BCI Laboratory report is attached to this investigative report. Please refer to the attachment for further details.

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law – a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.

Approved By SA James A. Mulford on
10/02/2023

Attachments:

Attachment # 01: Firearms Report

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law – a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.



DAVE YOST

OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL

Bureau of Criminal Investigation

**Laboratory Report
Firearms**

To:	BCI / Madison S/A Andy Russell 1560 S.R. 56 SW London, OH 43140	BCI Laboratory Number:	23-18245
		Analysis Date:	Issue Date:
		September 14, 2023	September 18, 2023
		Agency Case Number:	2023-2219
		BCI Agent:	Amy Gill
Offense:	Shooting Involving an Officer		
Subject(s):	N/A		
Victim(s):	N/A		

Submitted on August 25, 2023 by Amy Gill:

1. One manila envelope containing fired cartridge casings (BCI #1, Scene #1)
 - *Five (5) fired 9mm Luger cartridge cases*
2. White box containing firearm Serial # 1F074703 (BCI#2, Scene#1)
 - *One (1) Taurus model Spectrum 380, 380 Auto semi-automatic pistol, serial #1F074703, with **one (1) magazine body***
3. One manila envelope containing fired projectiles located inside vehicle near rear passenger door (BCI#7, Scene#1)
 - ***One (1) fired jacketed bullet***
4. White box containing firearm Serial# [REDACTED] (BCI#1, Scene#2)
 - *One (1) Smith & Wesson model M&P9 M2.0, 9mm Luger semi-automatic pistol, serial # [REDACTED] with **one (1) magazine and thirteen (13) 9mm Luger cartridges***

Submitted on August 28, 2023 by Amy Gill:

5. One manila envelope containing fired projectile (BCI# 5 , Scene# 3)
 - *One (1) fired jacketed bullet fragment*

Please address inquiries to the office indicated, using the BCI case number.

BCI -Bowling Green Office
750 North College Drive
Bowling Green, OH 43402
Phone:(419)353-5603

BCI -London Office
1560 St Rt 56 SW P.O. Box 365
London, OH 43140
Phone:(740)845-2000

BCI -Richfield Office
4055 Highlander Pkwy. Suite A
Richfield, OH 44286
Phone:(330)659-4600

Findings

Item Description	Comparison	Conclusion
Item 2: Taurus pistol	N/A	Operable (see remarks)
Item 4: Smith & Wesson pistol	N/A	Operable
	Item 1: Five (5) fired 9mm Luger cartridge cases	Source Identification
	Items 3, 5: One (1) fired jacketed bullet, one (1) fired jacketed bullet fragment	Source Identification

Remarks

Cartridges were manually loaded into the Taurus pistol chamber, item 2, for test firing.

Six (6) of the thirteen (13) submitted cartridges from item 4 were used for test firing.

A test fired cartridge case from item 2 was previously entered and searched in the NIBIN database at the London laboratory. If investigative information becomes available, your agency will be notified.

The remaining submitted items from items 2 and 4 were not examined at this time.

All evidence will be returned to the submitting agency.

Analytical Detail

Analytical findings offered above were determined using visual and microscopic examinations / comparisons.



Daniel Steiner
Forensic Scientist
740-845-2619
daniel.steiner@OhioAGO.gov



Based on scientific analyses performed, this report contains opinions and interpretations by the analyst whose signature appears above. Examination documentation and any demonstrative data supporting laboratory conclusions are maintained by BCI and will be made available for review upon request.

Your feedback is important to us! Please complete our Laboratory Satisfaction Survey at: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Q7V2N6H>



Comparison Conclusion Scale

The following lists the conclusions a Forensic Scientist may reach when performing comparisons. In reaching a conclusion, a Forensic Scientist considers the similarities and dissimilarities and assesses the relative support of the observations under the following two propositions: the evidence originated from the same source or from a different source.

A Forensic Scientist may utilize their knowledge, training, and experience to evaluate how much support the observed similarities or dissimilarities provide for one conclusion over another. A conclusion shall not be communicated with absolute certainty. It is an interpretation of observations made by the Forensic Scientists and shall be expressed as an expert opinion.

1	Source Identification	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from a different source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility.
2	Support for Same Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from the same source rather than different sources; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Identification. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
3	Inconclusive	The observations do not provide a sufficient degree of support for one proposition over the other. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
4	Support for Different Source	The observations provide more support for the proposition that the evidence originated from different sources rather than the same source; however, there is insufficient support for a Source Exclusion. The degree of support may range from limited to strong or similar descriptors of the degree of support. Any use of this conclusion shall include a statement of the factor(s) limiting a stronger conclusion.
5	Source Exclusion	The observations provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the evidence originated from a different source and the likelihood for the proposition that the evidence arose from the same source is so remote as to be considered a practical impossibility; or the evidence exhibits fundamentally different characteristics

We invite you to direct your questions to:
Abby Schwaderer, Quality Assurance Manager
(740) 845-2517
abby.schwaderer@ohioattorneygeneral.gov