

bonds issued under these proceedings constitute valid and legal obligations of said village school district.

Respectfully,
 THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.

909.

BONDS—CITY OF AKRON, SUMMIT COUNTY, \$10,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 21, 1939.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of the City of Akron, Summit County, Ohio,
 \$10,000.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of a \$2,000,000 issue of waterworks bonds of the above city dated October 1, 1918. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your Commission under date of May 26, 1936, being Opinion No. 5618.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,
 THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.

910.

BONDS—CITY OF TOLEDO, LUCAS COUNTY, \$5,000.00.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 21, 1939.

The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of the City of Toledo, Lucas County, Ohio,
 \$5,000.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of a \$2,450,000 issue of bonds of the above city dated June 15, 1935. The transcript

relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the State Employes Retirement Board under date of January 27, 1936, being Opinion No. 5118.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.

911.

BONDS—CITY OF AKRON, SUMMIT COUNTY, \$10,000.00

COLUMBUS, OHIO, July 21, 1939.

Retirement Board, Public Employes' Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.

GENTLEMEN:

RE: Bonds of the City of Akron, Summit County, Ohio,
\$10,000.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of street widening bonds in the aggregate amount of \$400,000.00 of the above city dated April 1, 1935. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the State Teachers Retirement Board under date of April 21, 1936, being Opinion No. 5402.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

THOMAS J. HERBERT,
Attorney General.