

2532.

APPROVAL — BONDS, CITY OF MAPLE HEIGHTS, CUYA-  
HOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$74,000.00, PART OF TWO ISSUES  
DATED JANUARY 1, 1937.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 2, 1938.

*Retirement Board, State Teachers Retirement System, Columbus, Ohio.*  
GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Maple Heights, Cuyahoga  
County, Ohio, \$74,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of two issues of bonds of the above city dated January 1, 1937. The transcripts relative to these issues were approved by this office in an opinion rendered to your board under date of May 26, 1938, being Opinion No. 2512.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*

2533.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CITY OF CLEVELAND, CUYAHOA  
COUNTY, OHIO, \$10,000.00, PART OF ISSUE DATED  
MAY 1, 1922.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 2, 1938.

*The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*  
GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Cleveland, Cuyahoga County,  
Ohio, \$10,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated May 1, 1922. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to

the Teachers Retirement System under date of December 16, 1937, being Opinion No. 1639.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*

---

2534.

APPROVAL—BONDS, CITY OF MAPLE HEIGHTS, CUYA-  
HOGA COUNTY, OHIO, \$385,000.00, PART OF ISSUE  
DATED JANUARY 1, 1937.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, June 2, 1938.

*The Industrial Commission of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio.*

GENTLEMEN :

RE: Bonds of City of Maple Heights, Cuyahoga  
County, Ohio, \$385,000.00.

The above purchase of bonds appears to be part of an issue of bonds of the above city dated January 1, 1937. The transcript relative to this issue was approved by this office in an opinion rendered to the Teachers Retirement System under date of May 26, 1938, being Opinion No. 2512.

It is accordingly my opinion that these bonds constitute valid and legal obligations of said city.

Respectfully,

HERBERT S. DUFFY,  
*Attorney General.*