

bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the workmen's compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,  
*Attorney General.*

---

5574.

APPROVAL—CONTRACT FOR ELECTRICAL WORK FOR A PROJECT KNOWN AS STATE HIGHWAY GARAGE BUILDING, BOWLING GREEN, OHIO, \$3,443.00, NATIONAL SURETY CORPORATION, SURETY—WESTGATE ELECTRIC SHOP OF COLUMBUS, OHIO, CONTRACTOR.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 21, 1936.

HON. CARL G. WAHL, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR: You have submitted for my approval a contract between the State of Ohio acting by the Department of Public Works, for the Department of Highways, and the Westgate Electric Shop of Columbus, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of Contract for Electrical Work for a project known as State Highway Garage Building, Division No. 2, Bowling Green, Ohio, in accordance with Items Nos. 4 and 17 (Alt. E-1) of the Form of Proposal dated April 28, 1936. Said contract calls for an expenditure of three thousand four hundred and forty-three dollars (\$3,443.00).

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. You have also submitted certificates of the Controlling Board showing that such board has released funds for this project in accordance with section 8 of House Bill No. 531 of the regular session of the 91st General Assembly.

In addition, you have submitted a contract bond upon which the National Surety Corporation appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it

appears that the laws relating to the status of surety companies and the workmen's compensation have been complied with.

Finding said contract and bond in proper legal form, I have this day noted my approval thereon and return the same herewith to you, together with all other data submitted in this connection.

Respectfully,

JOHN W. BRICKER,  
*Attorney General.*

---

5575.

APPROVAL—CONTRACT FOR GENERAL WORK ON PROJECT KNOWN AS STATE HIGHWAY GARAGE BUILDING, BOWLING GREEN, OHIO, \$78,580.00, MASS. BONDING AND INSURANCE COMPANY, SURETY—SKILKEN BROS. CO. OF COLUMBUS, OHIO, CONTRACTOR.

COLUMBUS, OHIO, May 21, 1936.

HON. CARL G. WAHL, *Superintendent of Public Works, Columbus, Ohio.*

DEAR SIR: You have submitted for my approval a contract between the State of Ohio, acting by the Department of Public Works, for the Department of Highways, and Skilken Bros., Inc., of Columbus, Ohio. This contract covers the construction and completion of Contract for General Work on a project known as State Highway Garage Building, Division No. 2, Bowling Green, Ohio, in accordance with Items Nos. 1 and 5, and substitution of shop paint steel sash with the manufacturer's standard paint, of the Form of Proposal dated April 28, 1936. Said contract calls for an expenditure of seventy eight thousand five hundred and eighty dollars (\$78,580.00).

You have submitted the certificate of the Director of Finance to the effect that there are unencumbered balances legally appropriated in a sum sufficient to cover the obligations of the contract. You have also submitted certificates of the Controlling Board showing that such board has released funds for this project in accordance with section 8 of House Bill No. 531 of the 91st General Assembly, regular session.

In addition, you have submitted a contract bond upon which the Massachusetts Bonding and Insurance Company appears as surety, sufficient to cover the amount of the contract.

You have further submitted evidence indicating that plans were properly prepared and approved, notice to bidders was properly given, bids tabulated as required by law and the contract duly awarded. Also it