

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
CLARKSBURG DIVISION**

STATE OF OHIO, et al.,	:	
	:	
Plaintiffs,	:	CASE NO: 1:23-cv-00100
	:	
v.	:	Judge John Preston Bailey
	:	
NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	

**JOINT MOTION OF PLAINTIFF STATES AND DEFENDANT NATIONAL
COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION FOR ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT
AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

Plaintiff States of Ohio, Colorado, Illinois, Minnesota, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, Tennessee, and West Virginia, the Commonwealth of Virginia, and the District of Columbia (“Plaintiff States”) and Defendant National Collegiate Athletic Association have negotiated and agreed to resolve all issues in this matter in accordance with the terms of the Proposed Consent Judgment and Permanent Injunction attached to this filing as Exhibit A. Plaintiff States and Defendant respectfully request that this Court enter the attached Proposed Consent Judgment and Permanent Injunction in resolution of this litigation between those parties. Co-Plaintiff United States of America has also reached a resolution with Defendant and will be making a separate filing with the Court.

Dated: May 30, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

DAVE YOST
OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL

Erik Clark
Deputy Attorney General for Major Litigation

Jennifer L. Pratt
Director of Major Litigation

Beth A. Finnerty
Section Chief, Antitrust Section

s/ William C. Becker
William C. Becker (*pro hac vice*)
Principal Assistant Attorney General

s/ Steven Oldham
Steven Oldham (*pro hac vice*)
Assistant Attorney General, Major Litigation

s/ Edward J. Olszewski
Edward J. Olszewski (*pro hac vice*)
Assistant Section Chief, Antitrust Section

s/ Derek M. Whiddon
Derek M. Whiddon (*pro hac vice*)
Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Section

Office of the Ohio Attorney General
30 E. Broad St., 26th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
Telephone: (614) 466-4328
Email: William.Becker@OhioAGO.gov
Steven.Oldham@OhioAGO.gov
Edward.Olszewski@OhioAGO.gov
Derek.Whiddon@OhioAGO.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Ohio

FOR PLAINTIFF COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA:

JASON S. MIYARES
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF VIRGINIA

KEVIN M. GALLAGHER
Principal Deputy Solicitor General

STEVEN G. POPPS
Deputy Attorney General
Civil Division

/s/ Tyler T. Henry
TYLER T. HENRY (*pro hac vice*)
Senior Assistant Attorney General and Manager
Antitrust Unit

/s/ Jonathan M. Harrison II
JONATHAN M. HARRISON II (*pro hac vice*)
Assistant Attorney General
Consumer Protection Section

Office of the Virginia Attorney General
202 North 9th Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219
Phone: (804) 496-0485
THenry@oag.state.va.us
JHarrison@oag.state.va.us

Attorneys for Plaintiff Commonwealth of Virginia

FOR PLAINTIFF DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:

BRIAN SCHWALB
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Adam Gitlin

ADAM GITLIN, Chief, Antitrust and Nonprofit Enforcement Section
(*pro hac vice*)

MEHREEN IMTIAZ, Assistant Attorney General
(*pro hac vice*)

Office of the Attorney General for the District of Columbia
400 6th Street, N.W, 10th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001
Phone: 202-442-9864 (Gitlin)
adam.gitlin@dc.gov
mehreen.imtiaz@dc.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff District of Columbia

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF COLORADO

PHILIP J. WEISER
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Bryn Williams

BRYN WILLIAMS

First Assistant Attorney General (*pro hac vice*)

ELIZABETH W. HEREFORD

Assistant Attorney General (*pro hac vice*)

Colorado Department of Law
Office of the Attorney General
Ralph L. Carr Judicial Center
1300 Broadway, 7th Floor
Denver, CO 80203

Telephone: (720) 508-6000

Email: Bryn.Williams@coag.gov

Elizabeth.Hereford@coag.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Colorado

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF ILLINOIS:

KWAME RAOUL, ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Elizabeth L. Maxeiner

ELIZABETH L. MAXEINER, Chief, Antitrust Bureau

Elizabeth L. Maxeiner, Chief, Antitrust Bureau (*pro hac vice*)

Brian M. Yost, Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Bureau (*pro hac vice*)

Daniel R. Betancourt, Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Bureau (*pro hac vice*)

Office of the Illinois Attorney General

115 S. LaSalle St., Fl. 23

Chicago, IL 60603

Phone: (773) 790-7935

Elizabeth.maxeiner@ilag.gov

Brian.yost@ilag.gov

Daniel.betancourt@ilag.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Illinois

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF MINNESOTA:

KEITH ELLISON
ATTORNEY GENERAL

s/ Elizabeth Odette

ELIZABETH ODETTE, Assistant Attorney General, Manager, Antitrust Division

James W. Canaday, Deputy Attorney General, Consumer Protection Division (*pro hac vice*)

Elizabeth Odette, Assistant Attorney General, Manager, Antitrust Division (*pro hac vice*)

Jon Woodruff, Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Division (*pro hac vice*)

Office of the Minnesota Attorney General

445 Minnesota St., Suite #1400

St. Paul, MN 55101

Phone: (651) 728-7208 (Odette)

James.Canaday@ag.state.mn.us

Elizabeth.Odette@ag.state.mn.us

Jon.Woodruff@ag.state.mn.us

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Minnesota

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF MISSISSIPPI:

LYNN FITCH
ATTORNEY GENERAL

s/ Caleb Pracht

Caleb Pracht (*pro hac vice*)

Special Assistant Attorney General, Consumer Protection Division

Mississippi Office of the Attorney General

550 High Street

P.O. Box 220

Jackson, MS 39205

Telephone: 601-359-4223

Caleb.Pracht@ago.ms.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Mississippi

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF NEW YORK:

LETITIA JAMES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

Christopher D'Angelo
Chief Deputy Attorney General,
Economic Justice Division

s/ Elinor R. Hoffmann
Elinor R. Hoffmann (*pro hac vice*)
Chief, Antitrust Bureau

s/ Amy McFarlane
Amy McFarlane (*pro hac vice*)
Deputy Chief, Antitrust Bureau

s/ Bryan Bloom
Bryan Bloom (*pro hac vice*)
Senior Enforcement Counsel, Antitrust Bureau

New York State Office of the Attorney General
28 Liberty Street
New York, NY 10005
Telephone: (212) 416-8269 (Hoffmann)
Email: Elinor.Hoffmann@ag.ny.gov
Amy.McFarlane@ag.ny.gov
Bryan.Bloom@ag.ny.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New York

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA:

JOSHUA H. STEIN
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NORTH CAROLINA

/s/ Jonathan R. Marx

JASMINE MCGHEE*

Senior Deputy Attorney General

JONATHAN R. MARX*

Special Deputy Attorney General

KUNAL CHOKSI*

Special Deputy Attorney General

North Carolina Department of Justice
114 W. Edenton St
Raleigh, NC 27603
Telephone: (919) 716-8611
Email: jmarx@ncdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of North Carolina

**pro hac vice*

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF TENNESSEE:

JONATHAN SKRMETTI
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND REPORTER

/s/ J. David McDowell

J. DAVID MCDOWELL

Deputy, Consumer Protection Division (*pro hac vice*)

ETHAN BOWERS

Senior Assistant Attorney General (*pro hac vice*)

TYLER T. CORCORAN

Assistant Attorney General (*pro hac vice*)

Office of the Attorney General and Reporter

P.O. Box 20207

Nashville, TN 37202

Phone: (615) 741-8722

Email: David.McDowell@ag.tn.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Tennessee

**FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA
AND AS LOCAL COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS
STATE OF OHIO, COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, AND STATES OF COLORADO,
ILLINOIS, MINNESOTA, MISSISSIPPI, NEW YORK,
NORTH CAROLINA, AND TENNESSEE:**

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA ex rel.
PATRICK MORRISEY, ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ Michael R. Williams

Michael R. Williams, Principal Deputy Solicitor General
Douglas L. Davis, Sr. Assistant Attorney General
Matthew M. Morrison, Assistant Attorney General

Office of the West Virginia Attorney General
P.O. Box 1789
Charleston, WV 25326
Ph. (304) 558-8986
Fax. (304) 558-0184
Michael.R.Williams@wvago.gov
Douglas.L.Davis@wvago.gov
Matt.M.Morrison@wvago.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of West Virginia and
Local Counsel for Plaintiffs State of Ohio, Commonwealth of Virginia,
District of Columbia, and States of Colorado, Illinois, Minnesota,
Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, and Tennessee*

FOR DEFENDANT NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION:

/s/ Benjamin L. Bailey

Benjamin L. Bailey (WVSB No. 200)
Christopher D. Smith (WVSB No. 13050)
BAILEY & GLASSER LLP
209 Capitol Street
Charleston, WV 25302
Telephone: (304) 345-6555
Facsimile: (304) 342-1110
bbailey@baileyglasser.com
csmith@baileyglasser.com

Philip D. Bartz (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
BRYAN CAVE LEIGHTON PAISNER LLP
1155 F Street NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 508-6000 (phone)
(202) 508-6200 (fax)
Philip.bartz@bclplaw.com

Stephen M. Scannell (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
BRYAN CAVE LEIGHTON PAISNER LLP
211 North Broadway Street, Suite 3600
St. Louis, MO 63102
(314) 259-2516 (phone)
(314) 259-2020 (fax)
Stephen.scannell@bclplaw.com

Benjamin J. Hogan (WVSB No. 12997)
BRYAN CAVE LEIGHTON PAISNER LLP
1700 Lincoln Street, Suite 4100
Denver, CO 80203-5451
(303) 866-7000 (phone)
(303) 866-0200 (fax)
Ben.hogan@bclplaw.com

Counsel for Defendant National Collegiate Athletic Association

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Douglas Davis, do hereby certify that on the 30th Day of May 2024, I filed the foregoing document titled ***JOINT MOTION OF PLAINTIFF STATES AND DEFENDANT NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION FOR ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION*** using the Court's CM/ECF system which will send notification to all counsel of record.

s/ Douglas L. Davis

Douglas L. Davis
Sr. Assistant Attorney General
Office of the West Virginia Attorney
General

*Counsel for Plaintiff State of West Virginia
and Local Counsel for Plaintiffs State of
Ohio, Commonwealth of Virginia, District of
Columbia, and States of Colorado, Illinois,
Minnesota, Mississippi, New York, North
Carolina, and Tennessee*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
CLARKSBURG DIVISION

STATE OF OHIO,
STATE OF COLORADO,
STATE OF ILLINOIS,
STATE OF MINNESOTA,
STATE OF MISSISSIPPI,
STATE OF NEW YORK,
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA,
STATE OF TENNESSEE,
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,
STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA,
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, and
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Civil No. 1:23-cv-100
Judge John Preston Bailey

Plaintiffs,

v.

NATIONAL COLLEGIATE
ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION,

Defendant.

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

1. WHEREAS the Plaintiff States of Ohio, Colorado, Illinois, Minnesota, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, Tennessee, and West Virginia; the Commonwealth of Virginia; the District of Columbia (collectively, “States”); and the United States of America have brought this action alleging violations of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 et. seq, against Defendant National Collegiate Athletic Association (“NCAA”).

2. WHEREAS the Plaintiff States, through their respective Attorneys General, are duly authorized to bring suits for injunctive relief to enforce the Sherman Act pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1 and 26.

3. WHEREAS Plaintiff United States of America is duly authorized to bring suits for injunctive relief to enforce the Sherman Act pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1 and 4.

4. WHEREAS all parties consent to this venue and to the personal jurisdiction of the Court for purposes of this litigation, entry of the Consent Judgment, and any subsequent litigation to enforce its terms.

5. WHEREAS this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 15 U.S.C. § 4 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337(a), and in the case of plaintiff United States, 28 U.S.C. § 1345.

6. WHEREAS the NCAA's member institutions and conferences have adopted rules and regulations governing certain aspects of college sports.

7. WHEREAS NCAA Bylaw¹ 14.5.5.1, herein referred to as the Transfer Eligibility Rule, provides that certain transfer students shall not be eligible for intercollegiate competition in Division I until they have fulfilled an academic "year of residence" at their new institution, unless they qualify for a transfer exception or secure a waiver.

¹ All references to "Bylaws" or "NCAA Rules" are to the *NCAA Division I 2023-24 Manual*.

8. WHEREAS Plaintiffs allege that the Transfer Eligibility Rule has unreasonably restrained competition for Division I student-athletes among schools and has prevented them from realizing the benefits of free and open competition for their athletic services.

9. WHEREAS Plaintiffs allege that the Transfer Eligibility Rule yields few, if any, procompetitive benefits.

10. WHEREAS Plaintiffs allege that, as a direct result of the NCAA's conduct, Division I student-athletes and consumers of college athletics have suffered and continue to suffer antitrust injury due to the reduction in competition among member institutions for student-athletes' services.

11. WHEREAS Plaintiffs therefore allege that the Transfer Eligibility Rule is an illegal agreement to restrain and suppress competition in the nationwide market for Division I student-athletes' labor in intercollegiate athletics, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act.

12. WHEREAS NCAA Bylaw 12.11.4.2, herein referred to as the Rule of Restitution, provides that, if a student-athlete obtains an injunction against the NCAA, and the student-athlete and his or her member institution conduct themselves in conformity with that injunction, the NCAA may nonetheless impose certain penalties on both the student-athlete and the member institution if the injunction is ultimately vacated, stayed, or reversed.

13. WHEREAS Plaintiffs allege that the Rule of Restitution deters member institutions from relying on court orders finding that the NCAA's rules are

anticompetitive (or otherwise illegal) and, therefore, deprives courts of the ability to grant effective relief from violations of state and federal law.

14. WHEREAS Plaintiffs allege that for injunctive relief prohibiting enforcement of the Transfer Eligibility Rule to be effective, the NCAA must also be enjoined from enforcing the Rule of Restitution to punish member institutions or student-athletes who immediately participate in intercollegiate competition following a transfer.

15. WHEREAS following an evidentiary hearing, the Court entered a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunctive relief against the NCAA enjoining enforcement of the Transfer Eligibility Rule and the Rule of Restitution. Dkt. 39, 63.

16. WHEREAS Plaintiffs allege that absent permanent injunctive relief, Division I student-athletes will continue to suffer irreparable harm from the Transfer Eligibility Rule, whether by missing games that cannot be replayed, failing to secure NIL deals or professional opportunities that would otherwise be available, or foregoing transfer decisions they would otherwise pursue.

17. WHEREAS Plaintiffs allege that the balance of the equities favors issuing a permanent injunction, and issuance of a permanent injunction is in the public interest.

18. WHEREAS the Plaintiff States and the NCAA have agreed to resolve this matter by entry of this Consent Judgment.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

19. The foregoing recitals are incorporated and made a part of this Consent Judgment.

20. The NCAA shall take all steps necessary to comply with this Consent Judgment.

21. This Consent Judgment resolves only Plaintiff States' claims with respect to the Transfer Eligibility Rule as applied to Division I student-athletes and does not affect other Bylaws or claims not made in this action. For the avoidance of doubt, this Final Judgment does not apply to any Bylaws of NCAA Division II or NCAA Division III nor does it resolve any antitrust claims regarding those rules.

22. The NCAA and any person or organization acting in concert with it (including but not limited to its officers, employees, staff, member institutions, councils, and committees), are permanently restrained and enjoined from:

- a. enforcing the Transfer Eligibility Rule, NCAA Bylaw 14.5.5.1, or any substantially similar rule requiring a Division I student-athlete to maintain a period of residence or otherwise refrain from competition solely because of a transfer between NCAA member institutions;
- b. enforcing the Rule of Restitution, NCAA Bylaw 12.11.4.2, on any Division I member institution or student-athlete related to a student-athlete's participation in intercollegiate competition following a transfer in reliance on this Court's temporary restraining order or preliminary injunction or this Consent Judgment;
- c. taking any other action to retaliate against a Division I member institution for conduct related to the Transfer Eligibility Rule,

including but not limited to (i) supporting a student-athlete who challenged the Transfer Eligibility Rule or (ii) permitting a student-athlete to compete during the period of this Court's temporary restraining order or its preliminary injunction in reliance on those orders; and

- d. taking any action to retaliate against any Division I student-athlete that transferred NCAA member institutions, including but not limited to those student-athletes who (i) challenged the Transfer Eligibility Rule, (ii) sought a waiver from the Transfer Eligibility Rule, or (iii) competed during the period of this Court's temporary restraining order or its preliminary injunction in reliance on those orders.

23. The NCAA shall provide an additional year of eligibility to any Division I student-athlete who was deemed ineligible to compete for a season or any portion of a season of competition occurring during or since the 2019-20 academic year because of the Transfer Eligibility Rule provided the student-athlete:

- a. transferred between two member institutions more than once;
- b. is currently enrolled at a Division I member institution; and
- c. is currently eligible to compete, or their eligibility expired at the end of a season of competition completed during the 2023-24 academic year.

For the avoidance of doubt, a Division I student-athlete described in this provision shall have no fewer than six calendar years to complete their four seasons of

intercollegiate competition in any one sport (see NCAA Bylaw 12.8), instead of the five calendar years set forth under NCAA Bylaw 12.8.1. Within sixty (60) days of entry of this Consent Judgment, the NCAA shall post a copy of this Consent Judgment on its public web site.

24. The NCAA shall not take any action, nor adopt any rules, by-laws or policies that have the effect of undermining or circumventing the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

25. The NCAA shall pay the Plaintiff States their reasonable fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action in an amount previously agreed upon by the parties.

26. The Court will retain jurisdiction for purposes of enforcing this Consent Judgment and resolving any dispute that may arise under it.

SO ORDERED this _____ day of _____, _____.

The Honorable John Preston Bailey
United States District Judge

SO AGREED:

Date: May 30, 2024

/s/ Derek M. Whiddon

Derek M. Whiddon
Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Section
Office of the Ohio Attorney General
FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF OHIO

/s/ Bryn Williams

Bryn Williams
First Assistant Attorney General
Colorado Department of Law
Office of the Attorney General
FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF COLORADO

/s/ Adam Gitlin

Adam Gitlin
Chief, Antitrust and Nonprofit Enforcement Section
Office of the Attorney General for the District of Columbia
FOR PLAINTIFF DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

/s/ Elizabeth L. Maxeiner

Elizabeth L. Maxeiner
Chief, Antitrust Bureau
Office of the Illinois Attorney General
FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF ILLINOIS

/s/ Elizabeth Odette

Elizabeth Odette
Assistant Attorney General, Manager, Antitrust Division
Office of the Minnesota Attorney General
FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF MINNESOTA

/s/ Caleb Pracht

Caleb Pracht
Special Assistant Attorney General, Consumer Protection Division
Mississippi Office of the Attorney General
FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

/s/ Elinor R. Hoffmann

Elinor R. Hoffmann
Chief, Antitrust Bureau
New York State Office of the Attorney General
FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF NEW YORK

/s/ Jonathan R. Marx

Jonathan R. Marx
Special Deputy Attorney General
North Carolina Department of Justice
FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

/s/ J. David McDowell

J. David McDowell
Deputy, Consumer Protection Division
Office of the Attorney General and Reporter
FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF TENNESSEE

/s/ Tyler T. Henry

Tyler T. Henry
Senior Assistant Attorney General and Manager
Antitrust Unit
Office of the Virginia Attorney General
FOR PLAINTIFF COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

/s/ Douglas L. Davis

Douglas L. Davis
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Office of the West Virginia Attorney General
FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA AND AS LOCAL COUNSEL FOR
THE PLAINTIFF STATES

/s/ Phillip D. Bartz

Philip D. Bartz
Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC
ASSOCIATION

/s/ Benjamin L. Bailey

Benjamin L. Bailey
Bailey & Glasser LLP
LOCAL COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC
ASSOCIATION