

CASE NO. 778581
Parvament Technology VS

ASSIGNED JUDGE John D. Sutula
Rodney Fugate

<input type="checkbox"/> 02 REASSIGNED	D I S P O S I T I O N	<input type="checkbox"/> 81 JURY TRIAL	<input type="checkbox"/> 89 DIS. W/PREJ.
<input type="checkbox"/> 03 REINSTATED (C/A)		<input type="checkbox"/> 82 ADR DECREE	<input type="checkbox"/> 91 COGNOVITS
<input type="checkbox"/> 04 REINSTATED		<input type="checkbox"/> 83 COURT TRIAL	<input type="checkbox"/> 92 DEFAULT
<input type="checkbox"/> 20 MAGISTRATE		<input type="checkbox"/> 85 PRETRIAL	<input type="checkbox"/> 93 TRANS TO COURT
<input type="checkbox"/> 40 ADR		<input type="checkbox"/> 86 FOREIGN JUDGMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> 95 TRANS TO JUDGE
<input type="checkbox"/> 65 STAY		<input type="checkbox"/> 87 DIS. W/O PREJ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 96 OTHER
<input type="checkbox"/> 69 SUBMITTED		<input type="checkbox"/> 88 BANKRUPTCY/APPEAL STAY	

F

NO. JURORS _____	COURT REPORTER _____	<input type="checkbox"/> PARTIAL
START DATE ___/___/___	START DATE ___/___/___	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FINAL
END DATE ___/___/___	END DATE ___/___/___	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> POST CARD

DATE 10/19/12 (NUNC PRO TUNC ENTRY AS OF & FOR ___/___/___)

Pursuant to R.C. 4141.282(H), this Court has reviewed the certified Record provided by the Commission, and reviewed the law and arguments provided in the briefs of the parties. This Court finds that the decision of the UCRC below was not unlawful, unreasonable or against the manifest weight of the evidence. Therefore, this Court **AFFIRMS** the 02-23-12 decision of the UCRC.

JUDGE

CLERK OF COL
RECEIVED FOR FILING
OCT 29 2012
BY [Signature] DEPT. CLERK

J
O
U
R
N
A
L

76439280

CV12778581