

Ohio Attorney General's Office Bureau of Criminal Investigation Investigative Report



2022–2876 Officer Involved Critical Incident – 256 N. Swain, LaRue, Ohio (L)

Investigative Activity:	Video Review
Involves:	Travis Hellinger (V), Marion County Sheriff's Office (O), Jacob Johnson (O), Briana Outcalt (O), Aaron Siegel (O), Deputy Larry Yoder (W), Sheriff Matt Bayles (O), Sgt. Dereck Keller (O), Sgt. Jason Flynn (O), Prosecutor Raymond A Grogan (O), Christopher Bates (O), Tracy Crabtree (O), Clarence Friend (O), Wendy Crabtree (O), Jeff MCCleese (O), Richard Rudd (O), April Russell (O), Michael Cress (O), Brooke Price (O), Jeremiah Haile (O), Stacy McCurry (W), (S), (S), (S), (S), (S), (S), (S), (S), (S), (S), (S), (S), (S), (S), (S), (S),
Date of Activity:	12/20/2022
Activity Location:	(by railroads) – S. Section Street , La Rue, OH 43332, Marion County
Author:	SA David G. Horn, #48

## Narrative:

On December 20, 2022, the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation (BCI) was requested to investigate the facts and circumstances surrounding an officer-involved critical incident (OICI) that occurred on the railroad tracks near Section Street in La Rue, Marion County, OH.

On January 10, 2023, BCI Special Agent David Horn reviewed the video footage from the Marion County SWAT Team Drone of Travis Hellinger (V), which was uploaded into the NW SIU

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law – a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.

shared folder.

There were four files, DJI\_20221220053520\_001\_ S (Standard), \_T (THERMAL), \_W (WIDE) and \_Z (Zoom), that were all consistent with one another. There was no sound.

The video was 16:41 minutes in length.

 $\cdot$  The start of the video showed footage of a house where the search warrant was conducted.

• At 0:01:06, video shows a subject (Hellinger) walking backward next to the railroad tracks shining a flashlight at SWAT members, who were following behind Hellinger at a distance.

 $\cdot$  At 0:01:33, Hellinger continues to shine his flashlight at officers, then toward the front and side as he continues to walk backward.

• At 0:04:30, Marion's Drone utilizes a spotlight on Hellinger.

• At 0:06:07, Hellinger crosses the road and continues walking backward on the railroad tracks.

 $\cdot$  At 0:07:17, a K9 was released toward Hellinger. After the K9 reaches Hellinger, the K9 turns and runs back toward the SWAT team.

• At 0:07:21, Hellinger is seen falling backward onto the railroad tracks.

• At 0:07:38, the Drone zooms in on Hellinger.

- At 0:07:46, SWAT officers reach Hellinger.
- At 0:08:01, a SWAT officer is seen moving a handgun away from Hellinger.

• At 0:08:55, SWAT members are accessing Hellinger and placing a tourniquet on

him.

• At 0:09:30, the Marion County Drone spotlight is turned off.

• After 0:10:24, the rest of the video is irrelevant.

There were four files, DJI\_20221220055200\_002\_ S (Standard), \_T (THERMAL), \_W (WIDE) and \_Z (Zoom), that were all consistent with one another. There was no sound.

The video was 02:04 minutes in length.

• This video shows an overview of buildings. Not relevant to the OICI.

## ADDITIONAL FACTORS FOR EVALUATING THE VIDEO RECORDINGS

There are numerous other factors that need to be considered when reviewing and evaluating

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law – a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.

the videos and audio recordings of this incident. Those factors are:

A. Video recording devices record within the video and sound capabilities available, and they record objectively. Video recordings lack the history, perspective and interest of the people involved. The positions, angles, obstacles, lighting and distance from the incident are also factors that need serious consideration.

B. Video recording devices also record more information about a particular scene or incident than the person(s) involved in the incident can process during the critical incident. Video recording devices rarely record an event from the same perspective of the officer. It captures the action but not the perception, decision making, focus, or intent of the officer. Video recordings often miss tactile cues or other actions of a subject.

C. The speed of the incident is remarkable. The speed and complexity of rapidly evolving situation(s) that occur during use of force encounters are difficult concepts to comprehend by only watching the video.

This document is the property of the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigation and is confidential in nature. Neither the document nor its contents are to be disseminated outside your agency except as provided by law – a statute, an administrative rule, or any rule of procedure.